Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305) Food and Drug Administration 5630 Fishers Lane, rm 1061 Rockville, MD 20852

RE: Docket No. 00D-1598

5 '01 JAN 24 22:13

Dear FDA,

I am writing about your "Draft Guidance for Industry: Voluntary Labeling Indicating Whether Foods Have or Have Not Been Developed Using Bioengineering." I am deeply disappointed that the FDA continues to ignore the will of the public and refuses to make labeling of genetically engineered foods mandatory.

Your agency admits to receiving more than 50,000 comments last year regarding genetically engineered foods. You concede: "Most of the comments that addressed labeling requested mandatory disclosure of the fact that the food or its ingredients was bioengineered or was produced from bioengineered food." Yet you ignore the will of the public saying the comments "did not provide data or other information regarding consequences to consumers from eating the food." The truth is there has been ample evidence submitted to the FDA revealing that these foods are NOT "substantially equivalent" to non-genetically engineered foods. Yet your agency continues to ignore this evidence.

Studies have shown that biotech soybeans contain altered levels of nutrients such as isoflavones. They have been shown to have higher levels of Kunitz trypsin inhibitor, a known antinutrient and allergen. Genetically engineered foods contain antibiotic marker genes and many contain built-in pesticides. These are not found in non-genetically engineered foods. I do not want to eat these biotech foods, but without mandatory labeling I have no choice.

Last year, Monsanto admitted to finding "unexpected gene fragments" in their genetically engineered soybeans. What other "unexpected gene fragments" are contained in other genetically engineered foods? The truth is that the FDA does not know, because these experimental foods have not been adequately tested. New proteins never before consumed by humans are being created and brought to market without any extensive tests being done to show that they are not causing allergies, cancer or other diseases.

In the case of genetically engineered foods, the FDA has done a poor job of protecting the safety of consumers. Please remember that the potential allergies created by the ingestion of StarLink corn completely escaped the FDA regulatory guidelines. It was the EPA that discovered the digestive problems associated with StarLink corn.

The FDA has been accused of being a pawn of biotech industry. It is documents such as your Draft Guidance for Industry that leads many to feel this belief holds some truth. In your Draft Guidance you question whether manufacturers who choose not to use genetically engineered ingredients should be able to label their products as GMO Free. It is bad enough that the FDA does not require the mandatory labeling of genetically engineered foods. Now your agency even seems to be exploring the idea of restricting the ability of a manufacturer to let consumers know the products are not genetically engineered. Such regulatory restrictions would be an outrageous act of censorship by the FDA.

Genetically engineered foods are required to be labeled in the European Union nations, in Japan, Australia, New Zealand and other countries. Recently, both the E.U.-U.S. Biotechnology Consultative Forum and the Consumer Federation of America recommended mandatory labeling of genetically engineered foods. The FDA should stop working on behalf of the manufacturers of genetically engineered foods and begin to work for the safety and rights of the American public. I insist that genetically engineered foods be labeled!

Sincerely,

Jean M. Powers (signature)

JEAN M. POWERS (print name)

7205.43RU STREET (address)

BOULDER Co. 80303 (city, state, zip

00D-1598

C 43

Food and Daug Administration 5630 Pishers Lane, and ICG1 Rooky, He D. 20852.

RE: Docket No. 00D - 598

5984 DI JAN 26 7993

Deat FLA,

I am writing about your 'Dra't Guidance for Industry: Voluntary Labeling Indicating Whether Foods Have or Have not Been Developed Using Bioengineering." I am deeply disappointed that the FDA continues to ignore the will of the public and refuses to make labeling of gene really engineered foods manuatory.

Your expense admits to receiving more than 20,000 ecoments but your regarding genetically engineered foods. You concede: "Post of the comments that achiesced labeling requesed mandatory disclosure of the fact that the food or its ingredients was brong pieceed or was produced from tiving necred food." Ye you ignore the will of the public saying the comments "die not provide data or other information regarding consequences to consume a from eating the food." The ruth is there has been ample evidence submitted to the FDA revealing that these foods are NCC "substitutionly equivalent" to non-genetically engineered tooks. Yet your agency continues to ignore this evidence.

Studies have shown that bio ech coybouns centain altered levels of nutrients such as isoflavoned. They have been shown to have higher levels of Kut itz hypsin inabitor, a known antinutrient and allergen. Genetically engineered foods contain at tibiolic marker genes and many contain but t-in pesticides. These are not found in non-genetically engineered loods. I do not want to cat these biotech foods, but without mat datory a beling I have no choice

Lest year, Monstato admitted to finding unexpected gene fragments' in their genetically enganested stybeans. What other unexpected gene fragments' are contained it other genetically engancered foods? The ruth is that the FD4, does not know, because these experimental foods have not been adequately tested. New proteins never before consumed by humans are being created and brought to market without any extensive tests being done to show that they are not causing allergies, cancer or other discusses.

In the case of generically engineered foods, the FDA has done a poor job of protesting the safety of consumers. Please temerate that the potential allergies created by the ingestion of StarLink corn controlledly escaped the FDA regulatory guidelines. It was the EPA that discovered the discovered to discovered with StarLink porm

The FDA has been accused of being a pawn of bictech industry. It is documents such as your Draft Guidance for Industry that leads many to fee, this belief holds some truth. In your Ethaft Guidance you dues not whether manufacturers was choose not to use genetically engineered ingredients should be able to label their products as GMO free. It is bad enough that if e FDA does not require the manufactry labeling of genetically engineered foods. Now your agency even seems to be exploring the idea of restricting the ability of a manufacturer to let consumers know the products are not genetically engineered. Such regulatory restrictions would be an outrageous act of consumbly by the FDA.

Then really engineered floods are required to be 'abeled' in the European Union nations, in Japan Autoralia, New Zimbard and office countries. Recently, both the E.U.-U.S. Biotechnology Consultative Forum and the Consumer Faceration of America recommended mendatory labeling of genetically engineered foods. The FDA should stop working on behalf of their anufacturers of genetically engineered foods and begin to work for the safety and rights of the American public. I insist that genetically engineered foods be labeled!

Sincerely,	Telle: + 1 ) discusse (signs) re)
	PONETT TOWN. TIS (training)
	7'20 S. 43 B. 117. (accress)
ند ند	7次の以(の)(元) と) と) 3のは (city, state_sip)
S. (i.) 2	ישע פול (ושנוסנט עוטין ווארט און ארט עוסוענו אופיאני ארט אורייטין ווארט אורייטין אינייטין אינייטיין אינייטין אינייטין אינייטין אינייטין אינייטין אינייטין אינייטיין אינייטין אינייטיין אינייטין אינייטיין אינייטין אינייטיין איייטיין אינייטיין אינייטיין אינייטיין אינייטיין אינייטיין אינייטיין אינייטיין אינייטיין אייייין איייין אייייין איייין אייייין אייייין איייין אייייין אייייין אייייין אייייין אייייין אייייין איייייין אייייין איייייין איייייין אייייייין איייייין איייייייי

720 S. 43 PD 720 S. 43 PD 730 UDER, CD 80303





DOCKETS MEMT. BRANCH (HFA-305)
FOOD + DRUG ADMINISTRATION

1630 FISHERS LANE, ROOM 1061

TOOKUILLE, MD 20852